



# REQUEST FOR DECISION

---

SUBJECT:	<b>Annual Weed and Pest Inspector Appointment</b>		
SUBMISSION TO:	REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING	REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR SUBMISSION	
MEETING DATE:	May 13, 2025	CAO: WU	MANAGER: SK
DEPARTMENT:	AGRICULTURE	DIR: MH	PRESENTER: SK
STRATEGIC PLAN:	Governance	LEG: SS	

---

## RELEVANT LEGISLATION:

**Provincial** (cite) – *Agricultural Pests Act*. Chapter A-8 RSA 2000 s.10(1), *Weed Control Act* Chapter W-5.1 RSA 2008 s.7 (1), *Pest and Nuisance Control Regulation* AR/184 2001, *Weed Control Regulation* AR 19/2010

**Council Bylaw/Policy** (cite) – Bylaw 22-894: Designated Noxious Weeds, Bylaw 22-895: Unlegislated Agriculture Pests

---

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

**MOTION:** That Council appoint the following employees as Pest and Weed Inspectors for the term of their employment: Kathy Levesque, Mackenna Kohlman, Grant Barrett, Vincent Morris, Ryan Pratt, Bronwyn Bergmann, Bryan Wiltse, Simranjeet Singh, Bruro Quan, Andrea Arrieta, Tove Whibley, Chantelle Boulet, Sarah Gilbraith, Matais Nanemahoo, Dionne Werkema, Emily Turgeon, Brooke Ferraby, Josie Moberly, and Rylind Smith.

---

## BACKGROUND/PROPOSAL:

Greenview must appoint pest inspectors under the *Agricultural Pests Act* and weed inspectors under the *Weed Control Act* on an annual basis to be compliant with the applicable legislation. The inspectors typically are employed from May to September and their functions are to inspect for the species listed in the Pest and Nuisance Control Regulation and Weed Control Regulation, and in support of Greenview Bylaw 22-894: Designated Noxious Weeds and Bylaw 22-895: Unlegislated Agricultural Pests.

Administration is confident that the above-mentioned employees will fulfill all the applicable duties associated with Greenview's Weed and Pest Control Program.

---

## BENEFITS OF THE RECOMMENDED ACTION:

1. The benefit of accepting the recommended motion is that Greenview will be appointing qualified employees to be compliant with the *Agricultural Pests Act* and *Weed Control Act* and in support of Greenview Bylaw 22-894 and Bylaw 22-895.
- 

## DISADVANTAGES OF THE RECOMMENDED ACTION:

There are no perceived disadvantages to the recommended motion.

---

---

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

**Alternative #1:** Council has the option to deny or alter the recommended motion, however, Administration does not recommend such action, as the noted employees appointed can carry out Agricultural Services functions for the 2025 season.

---

FINANCIAL IMPLICATION:

**Direct Costs:** The financial implications of the recommended motions would be seasonal wages for the employees, which has been included in the 2025 Greenview Operational Budget.

---

STAFFING IMPLICATION:

There are no staffing implications to the recommended motion.

---

PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT LEVEL:

Greenview has adopted the IAP2 Framework for public consultation.

**INCREASING LEVEL OF PUBLIC IMPACT**

Inform

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION GOAL**

Inform - To provide the public with balanced and objective information to assist them in understanding the problem, alternatives, opportunities and/or solutions.

**PROMISE TO THE PUBLIC**

Inform - We will keep you informed.

---

FOLLOW UP ACTIONS:

Administration will proceed with all administrative functions required upon the recommended motions outcome.

---

ATTACHMENT(S): N/A